

~ The ROGER SMITH ~ ~ WASHINGTON 6, D.C. ~
PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE at 18TH STREET, N.W. ~ ~ A Modern, Fireproof Hotel

From memory after one fast reading:


Although, I believe, the routing slip indicates
that none at [REDACTED] has yet seen this report,

STATINTL

I have the impression that some of the recommen-
dations have been incorporated into the present

STATINTL

III I took, namely:



~ The ROGER SMITH ~ ~ WASHINGTON 6, D.C. ~
PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE at 18TH STREET, N.W. ~ ~ A Modern, Fireproof Hotel

STATINTL

I certainly agree that reporting and cable writing has no place in III and should properly belong in II. We were told, however, that emphasis on proper cable drafting was incorporated because of its importance as a cost factor in the overall agency budget.

I have not observed any signs of instructors being insufficiently prepared for a seminar. All of them seemed thoroughly familiar with the material under discussion, to the extent that the files were made available to them.




~ The ROGER SMITH ~ ~ WASHINGTON 6, D.C. ~
PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE at 18TH STREET, N.W. ~ ~ A Modern, Fireproof Hotel

I have a feeling that the writer of the critique,
in discerning the individual merits of the
cases selected for III (in singling out [REDACTED] 25X1A2d1
over others) shows pre-disposition towards his
own immediate field of activities, the Far East.

I heartily agree with his recommendation
to eliminate "extraneous material" and
have myself tumbled upon this point in
my own little critique under the
heading of "complexity of cases".

The above, I hardly need to point out,
are hastily written notes ("at random").

I wish I had the time to make some
decently organized and well thought-out
comments.



25X1A9a

